

PING-PONG ON NEGATIVELY CURVED GROUPS

RITA GITIK

ABSTRACT. We generalize results about the classical Schottky groups to quasiconvex subgroups in negatively curved groups, answering a question of M. Bestvina.

INTRODUCTION

The following result about classical Schottky groups of rank 2 goes back to Blaschke, Klein, Schottky and Poincaré. It can be viewed as a special case of the Klein-Maskit combination theorem ([Ma], p.135).

Lemma. *Let h and k be hyperbolic isometries of hyperbolic space such that their axes have disjoint endpoints. There exists a constant C which depends only on h and k , such that if $m > C$ and $n > C$, then the subgroup $\langle h^m, k^n \rangle$ is isomorphic to the free product $\langle h^m \rangle * \langle k^n \rangle$.*

The well-known proof of this result can be abstracted into the following simple form. Let H and K be subgroups of a group G , and let $\langle H, K \rangle$ be the smallest subgroup of G containing H and K .

The Ping-Pong Lemma. *Let G be a group acting on a set S . Let H and K be subgroups of G such that $|K : G_0| > 2$ or $|H : G_0| > 2$, where $G_0 = H \cap K$. If there exist disjoint non-empty subsets S_K and S_H of S such that $K \setminus G_0$ maps S_K into S_H and $H \setminus G_0$ maps S_H into S_K , then the subgroup $\langle H, K \rangle$ is isomorphic to the amalgamated free product $\langle H, K \rangle = H *_{{G_0}} K$.*

Proof. Let $h_1 k_1 \cdots h_n$ be an element of $\langle H, K \rangle \setminus G_0$, where all h_i and k_i are not in G_0 . Then for any $x \in S_H$, $(h_1 k_1 \cdots h_n)(x) \in S_K$, so $(h_1 k_1 \cdots h_n)(x) \neq x$, hence any element of odd syllable length in $\langle H, K \rangle$ is non-trivial. Consider an element of even length $h_1 k_1 \cdots h_n k_n$. Without loss of generality, $|H : G_0| > 2$, hence there exists $h \in H$ such that $h \notin G_0$ and $h^{-1} h_1 \notin G_0$. But then the element $h^{-1} h_1 k_1 \cdots h_n k_n h$ has odd syllable length, hence it is non-trivial, therefore $h_1 k_1 \cdots h_n k_n$ is non-trivial.

Note that there exist counterexamples to the Lemma when $|K : G_0| = |H : G_0| = 2$, even if the action of G on S is free.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F32, 20E06, 30F40.

Research partially supported by NSF grant DMS 9022140 at MSRI

The Ping-Pong lemma allows us to describe the subgroup $\langle H, K \rangle$. In general, even in the simple case when $H = \langle h \rangle$ and $K = \langle k \rangle$ are infinite cyclic groups with trivial intersection, we do not have much information about the group $\langle h, k \rangle$. In particular, this group need not be isomorphic to the free group of rank two.

In this paper we describe the subgroup $\langle H, K \rangle$ when H and K are quasiconvex subgroups of a negatively curved group G . We also give a condition for $\langle H, K \rangle$ to be quasiconvex in G . In general, even if both $H = \langle h \rangle$ and $K = \langle k \rangle$ are infinite cyclic (hence quasiconvex in G , [Gr], p. 210), the group $\langle h, k \rangle$ might be non-quasiconvex in G . This might happen even if $\langle h, k \rangle$ is isomorphic to the free group of rank 2.

We prove the following results.

Theorem 1. *Let H and K be μ -quasiconvex subgroups of a δ -negatively curved group G . There exists a constant C_0 , which depends only on G, δ and μ , with the following property. For any subgroups $H_1 < H$ and $K_1 < K$ with $H_1 \cap K_1 = H \cap K = G_0$, if all the elements in H_1 and in K_1 which are shorter than C_0 belong to G_0 , then $\langle H_1, K_1 \rangle = H_1 *_{G_0} K_1$. If, in addition, H_1 and K_1 are quasiconvex in G , then the subgroup $\langle H_1, K_1 \rangle$ is quasiconvex in G .*

Recall that a subgroup H is malnormal in G if for any $g \notin H$ the intersection of H and gHg^{-1} is trivial.

Theorem 2. *Let H and K be μ -quasiconvex subgroups of a δ -negatively curved group G . Assume that H is malnormal in G . There exists a constant C_1 , which depends only on G, δ and μ , with the following property. For any subgroup $H_1 < H$ with $H_1 \cap K = H \cap K = G_0$, if all the elements in H_1 which are shorter than C_1 belong to G_0 , then $\langle H_1, K \rangle = H_1 *_{G_0} K$. If, in addition, H_1 is quasiconvex in G , then the subgroup $\langle H_1, K \rangle$ is quasiconvex in G .*

Of course, Theorems 1 and 2 might be vacuously true, because there might be no subgroups H_1 and K_1 with the required properties. However, these theorems have interesting applications in the following frequently encountered case. Recall that a group K is residually finite if for any finite set of non-trivial elements in K , there exists a finite index subgroup of K which does not contain this set. The class of residually finite groups is very rich, it contains all finitely generated linear groups, and all fundamental groups of geometric 3-manifolds.

If K is a quasiconvex subgroup of a negatively curved group G , then K is finitely generated, so for any positive integer n , K has only finitely many elements shorter than n . Hence if such K is infinite and residually finite, it has an infinite family of distinct finite index subgroups K_n , such that K_n does not contain non-trivial elements shorter than n . As K_n is a finite index subgroup of a quasiconvex subgroup K , it is quasiconvex in G , hence we have the following results.

Corollary 3. *Let H and K be infinite quasiconvex subgroups of a negatively curved group G . Assume that H and K are residually finite. If the intersection of H and K is trivial, then there exist infinite families of distinct finite index subgroups H_m*

of H and K_n of K , such that the subgroups $\langle H_m, K_n \rangle$ are quasiconvex in G and $\langle H_m, K_n \rangle = H_m * K_n$.

Corollary 4. *Let H and K be infinite quasiconvex subgroups of a negatively curved group G . Assume that H is malnormal in G and residually finite. If the intersection of H and K is trivial, then there exists an infinite family of distinct finite index subgroups H_m of H with such that the subgroup $\langle H_m, K \rangle$ is quasiconvex in G and $\langle H_m, K \rangle = H_m * K$.*

PROOFS OF THE RESULTS.

Let X be a set, let $X^* = \{x, x^{-1} | x \in X\}$, where $(x^{-1})^{-1} = x$ for $x \in X$. Denote the set of all words in X^* by $W(X^*)$, and denote the equality of two words by " $\equiv$ ". Let G be a group generated by the set X^* , and let $\text{Cayley}(G)$ be the Cayley graph of G with respect to the generating set X^* . The set of vertices of $\text{Cayley}(G)$ is G , the set of edges of $\text{Cayley}(G)$ is $G \times X^*$, and the edge (g, x) joins the vertex g to gx .

Definition. The label of the path $p = (g, x_1)(gx_1, x_2) \cdots (gx_1x_2 \cdots x_{n-1}, x_n)$ in $\text{Cayley}(G)$ is the word $\text{Lab}(p) \equiv x_1 \cdots x_n \in W(X^*)$. As usual, we identify the word $\text{Lab}(p)$ with the corresponding element in G . We denote the initial and the terminal vertices of p by $\iota(p)$ and by $\tau(p)$ respectively, and the inverse of p by $\bar{p}$. Denote the length of the path p by $|p|$, where $|(g, x_1)(gx_1, x_2) \cdots (gx_1x_2 \cdots x_{n-1}, x_n)| = n$.

A geodesic in the Cayley graph is a shortest path joining two vertices. A group G is δ -negatively curved if any side of any geodesic triangle in $\text{Cayley}(G)$ belongs to the δ -neighborhood of the union of two other sides, (see [Gr] and [C-D-P]). Let $\lambda \leq 1, L > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$. A path p in the Cayley graph is a (λ, ϵ) -quasigeodesic if for any subpath p' of p and for any geodesic γ with the same endpoints as p' , $|\gamma| > \lambda|p'| - \epsilon$.

A path p is a local (λ, ϵ, L) -quasigeodesic if for any subpath p' of p which is shorter than L and for any geodesic γ with the same endpoints as p' , $|\gamma| > \lambda|p'| - \epsilon$, (cf. [C-D-P], p. 24).

Theorem 1.4 (p.25) of [C-D-P] (see also [Gr], p. 187) states that for any $\lambda_0 \leq 1$ and for any $\epsilon_0 > 0$ there exist constants (L, λ, ϵ) which depend only on (λ_0, ϵ_0) and δ , such that any local $(\lambda_0, \epsilon_0, L)$ -quasigeodesic in G is a global (λ, ϵ) -quasigeodesic in G .

Recall that H is a μ -quasiconvex subgroup of G if any geodesic in $\text{Cayley}(G)$ which has its endpoints in H belongs to the μ -neighborhood of H .

Proof of Theorem 1. Let H_1 and K_1 be subgroups of H and K respectively, such that $H_1 \cap K_1 = H \cap K = G_0$. Consider an element l of $\langle H_1, K_1 \rangle$ such that $l \notin G_0$. Then there exists a representation $l = h_1 k_1 \cdots k_{m-1} h_m$, where $h_i \in H_1, k_i \in K_1, k_i$ and h_i do not belong to G_0, k_i and h_i are geodesics in G, h_1 is a shortest representative of the coset $h_1 G_0, h_m$ is a shortest representative of the coset $G_0 h_m$, and for $1 < i < m, h_i$ is a shortest representative of the double coset $G_0 h_i G_0$. (The elements h_1 or h_m might be trivial). Let p be the path in $\text{Cayley}(G)$ beginning

at 1 with the decomposition of the following form: $p = p_1 q_1 \cdots q_{m-1} p_m$, where $Lab(p_i) \equiv h_i$ and $Lab(q_i) \equiv k_i$.

Let A be the number of words in G which are shorter than $2\mu + \delta$. As mentioned above, there exist constants (L, λ, ϵ) which depend only on (μ, A, δ) , such that any local $(1/3, (4\mu \cdot A + \delta), L)$ -quasigeodesic in G is a global (λ, ϵ) -quasigeodesic in G .

Let $C_0 = \max(L, \frac{\epsilon}{\lambda})$. We claim that if any elements in H_1 and in K_1 which are shorter than C_0 belong to G_0 , then any path p , as above, is a (λ, ϵ) -quasigeodesic in G . Indeed, it is enough to show that p is a local $(1/3, (4\mu \cdot A + \delta), L)$ -quasigeodesic in G . As $h_i \notin G_0$ and $k_i \notin G_0$, it follows that $|q_i| > C_0$ and $|p_i| > C_0$. As $L \leq C_0$, any subpath t of p with $|t| < L$ has a (unique) decomposition $t_1 t_2$, where without loss of generality, t_1 is a subpath of some p_i and t_2 is a subpath of q_i . Let t_3 be a geodesic in G joining the endpoints of t . We will show that $|t_3| \geq \frac{|t|}{3} - (4\mu \cdot A + \delta)$. If $|t_1| \leq \frac{|t|}{3}$, then $|t_3| \geq |t_2| - |t_1| \geq \frac{|t|}{3}$. So assume that $|t_1| > \frac{|t|}{3}$. As $t_1 t_2 t_3$ is a geodesic triangle in $Cayley(G)$, it is δ -thin. Let t'_1 be the maximal subpath of t_1 which belongs to the δ -neighborhood of t_2 . It follows from Lemma 5 (below) that $|t'_1| \leq A \cdot 4\mu$, hence $|t_3| \geq |t_1| - |t'_1| - \delta \geq 1/3|t| - (A \cdot 4\mu + \delta)$.

So, indeed, p is a local $(\frac{1}{3}, (4\mu \cdot A + \delta), L)$ -quasigeodesic in G , hence it is a global (λ, ϵ) -quasigeodesic in G . The definition of C_0 implies that if a (λ, ϵ) -quasigeodesic p in G is longer than C_0 , then $Lab(p) \neq 1$. As any element $l \in \langle H_1, K_1 \rangle$ which is not in G_0 has a representative $Lab(p)$ in G , as above, with $|p| > C_0$, it follows that $l \neq 1$, hence $\langle H_1, K_1 \rangle = H_1 *_{G_0} K_1$.

To prove the second part of the Theorem, for any element $l \in \langle H_1, K_1 \rangle$ which is not in G_0 , consider a geodesic γ in G joining the endpoints of the path p , as above. As G is negatively curved, there exists a constant α which depends only on (λ, ϵ) and δ , such that γ belongs to the α -neighborhood of p . Assume that H_1 and K_1 are μ_1 -quasiconvex in G , then any vertex v_i on p_i is in the μ_1 -neighborhood of $h_1 k_1 \cdots k_{i-1} H_1$, and any vertex w_i on q_i is in the μ_1 -neighborhood of $h_1 k_1 \cdots h_i K_1$, so the path p belongs to the μ_1 -neighborhood of the subgroup $\langle H_1, K_1 \rangle$. Hence γ belongs to the $(\alpha + \mu_1)$ -neighborhood of $\langle H_1, K_1 \rangle$.

If $l \in G_0$, then $l \in H_1$, so any geodesic γ labeled with l with $\iota(\gamma) = 1$, belongs to the μ_1 -neighborhood of H_1 . It follows that the subgroup $\langle H_1, K_1 \rangle$ is $(\alpha + \mu_1)$ -quasiconvex in G .

Lemma 5. *Using the notation of the proof of Theorem 1, $|t'_1| \leq A \cdot 4\mu$.*

Proof. To simplify notation, we drop the subscript i , so t_1 is a subpath of p , t_2 is a subpath of q , $Lab(p) = h$ and $Lab(q) = k$. As $H_1 < H$ and $K_1 < K$, we consider h as an element of H and k as an element of K . Without loss of generality, assume that q begins at 1, (so it ends at k), then p begins at h^{-1} and ends at 1. As K and H are μ -quasiconvex in G , any vertex v_i on p is in the μ -neighborhood of H , and any vertex w_i on q is in the μ -neighborhood of K . Hence we can find vertices v_1 and v_2 in t'_1 , w_1 and w_2 in t_2 , h' and h'' in H , and k' and k'' in K such that $|v_i, w_i| < \delta$, $|v_1, (h')^{(-1)}| < \mu$, $|v_2, (h'')^{(-1)}| < \mu$, $|w_1, k'| < \mu$ and $|w_2, k''| < \mu$. Then $|h'k'| < 2\mu + \delta$ and $|h''k''| < 2\mu + \delta$.

Assume that $|t'_1| > A \cdot 4\mu$. Then we can find vertices, as above which, in addition,

satisfy: $|v_2, v_1| > 4\mu$ and $h'k' = h''k''$. But then $(h'')^{(-1)}h' = k''(k')^{(-1)}$, so both products are in G_0 . As h is a shortest element in the double coset G_0hG_0 , it follows that $|h| \leq |h(h'')^{(-1)}h'|$. Let r be a geodesic joining $(h'')^{(-1)}$ to v_2 , let s' be a subpath of p joining v_2 to 1 and let s'' be a subpath of p joining h^{-1} to v_2 . Then $|h| = |p| = |s'| + |s''|$, and $|h(h'')^{(-1)}h'| \leq |s''| + |r| + |h'|$, hence $|s'| + |s''| \leq |s''| + |r| + |h'|$, so $|s'| + |r| \leq 2|r| + |h'|$. As $|h''| \leq |s'| + |r|$, and as $|r| \leq \mu$, it follows that $|h''| \leq 2\mu + |h'|$.

As $|v_2, v_1| > 4\mu$, the triangle inequality implies that $|h''| = |(h'')^{-1}| \geq |s'| - |r| = |1, v_1| + |v_1, v_2| - |r| \geq |1, v_1| + 4\mu - \mu = |1, v_1| + \mu + 2\mu$.

Now let a be a geodesic joining $(h')^{-1}$ to v_1 . As $|a| < \mu$, the triangle inequality implies that $|h'| = |(h')^{-1}| \leq |1, v_1| + |a| < |1, v_1| + \mu$. Hence, $|h''| > |h'| + 2\mu$, a contradiction. Therefore $|t'_1| \leq A \cdot 4\mu$.

We use the following property of malnormal quasiconvex subgroups of negatively curved groups, (cf. [Gi]). The original proof of this fact for the special case when G is a free group is due to E. Rips ([G-R]).

Let H be a subgroup of G , and let G/H denote the set of right cosets of H in G . The relative Cayley graph of G with respect to H is an oriented graph whose vertices are the cosets G/H , the set of edges is $(G/H) \times X^*$, such that an edge (Hg, x) begins at the vertex Hg and ends at the vertex Hgx . We denote it $\text{Cayley}(G, H)$. Note that for any path p in $\text{Cayley}(G, H)$ if $\iota(p) = H \cdot 1$, then $\tau(p) = H \cdot \text{Lab}(p)$, so a path p beginning at $H \cdot 1$ is closed, if and only if $\text{Lab}(p) \in H$.

Lemma 6. *Let H be a malnormal μ -quasiconvex subgroup of a finitely generated group G , and let δ be a non-negative constant. (The group G does not have to be negatively curved). Let $\gamma_2 t \gamma_1$ be a path in $\text{Cayley}(G)$ such that γ_1 and γ_2 are geodesics in $\text{Cayley}(G)$, $\text{Lab}(\gamma_1) \in H$, $\text{Lab}(\gamma_2) \in H$ and $\text{Lab}(t) \notin H$. Let m be the number of vertices in the ball of radius $\mu + 2\delta$ around $H \cdot 1$ in $\text{Cayley}(G, H)$, and let $M = m^2 + 1$. Then any subpath α of γ_1 which belongs to the 2δ -neighborhood of γ_2 in $\text{Cayley}(G)$ is shorter than M .*

Proof of Lemma 6. Assume that $|\alpha| \geq M$. Without loss of generality, $\iota(\gamma_2) = 1$. Let γ'_1 be a geodesic in $\text{Cayley}(G)$ beginning at 1 such that $\text{Lab}(\gamma'_1) \equiv \text{Lab}(\gamma_1)$, and let α' be the subpath of γ'_1 such that $\text{Lab}(\alpha') \equiv \text{Lab}(\alpha)$. Let $\pi : \text{Cayley}(G) \rightarrow \text{Cayley}(G, H)$ be the projection map: $\pi(g) = Hg$ and $\pi(g, x) = (Hg, x)$.

Note that γ_2 and γ'_1 are geodesics in $\text{Cayley}(G)$ beginning at 1, $\text{Lab}(\gamma'_1) \in H$ and $\text{Lab}(\gamma_2) \in H$, so as H is μ -quasiconvex in G , the projection π maps γ_2 and γ'_1 into a ball of radius μ around $H \cdot 1$ in $\text{Cayley}(G, H)$. As $\alpha \subset N_{2\delta}(\gamma_2) \subset \text{Cayley}(G)$, it follows that $\pi(\alpha) \subset N_{2\delta+\mu}(H \cdot 1) \subset \text{Cayley}(G, H)$. Denote the vertices of $\pi(\alpha)$ by $v_1, \dots, v_n$ and the vertices of $\pi(\alpha')$ by $w_1, \dots, w_n$. As $n \geq M$, and $\{v_1, \dots, v_n, w_1, \dots, w_n\} \subset N_{2\delta+\mu}(H \cdot 1)$, there exist $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ such that $(v_i, w_i) = (v_j, w_j)$. Let p_1 and p_2 be subpaths of $\pi(\gamma_1)$ connecting $\iota(\pi(\gamma_1))$ and v_i , and v_i and v_j respectively. Let q_1 and q_2 be subpaths of $\pi(\gamma'_1)$ connecting $H \cdot 1 = \iota(\pi(\gamma'_1))$ and w_i , and w_i and w_j respectively. Let $s = \pi(t)$ and let $\bar{p}$ denote the path p with the opposite orientation. Then $sp_1p_2\bar{p}_1\bar{s}$ and $q_1q_2\bar{q}_1$ are closed paths in $\text{Cayley}(G, H)$ beginning at $H \cdot 1$, so $\text{Lab}(sp_1p_2\bar{p}_1\bar{s}) \in H$ and $\text{Lab}(q_1q_2\bar{q}_1) \in H$.

But $Lab(p_1) \equiv Lab(q_1)$ and $Lab(p_2) \equiv Lab(q_2)$, so $Lab(s)Lab(p_1p_2\bar{p}_1)Lab^{-1}(s) \in H$, and $Lab(p_1p_2\bar{p}_1) \in H$. Therefore the malnormality of H in G implies that $Lab(s) \in H$, contradicting the assumption that $Lab(s) = Lab(t) \notin H$. Hence $|\alpha| < M$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let H_1 be a subgroup of H such that $H_1 \cap K = H \cap K = G_0$. Let l be an element of $\langle H_1, K \rangle$ such that $l \notin G_0$. Then there exists a representation $l = h_1k_1 \cdots k_{m-1}h_m$, where k_i and h_i are as in the proof of Theorem 1. Let p be a path in $Cayley(G)$ with a decomposition of the following form: $p = p_1q_1 \cdots q_{m-1}p_m$, where $Lab(p_i) \equiv h_i$ and $Lab(q_i) \equiv k_i$. Let M be as in Lemma 6, and let A be as in the proof of Theorem 1. As was mentioned above, there exist constants $(L', \lambda', \epsilon')$ which depend only on (μ, A, δ) , such that any local $(1/6, (4\mu \cdot A + \delta + M), L')$ -quasigeodesic in G is a global (λ', ϵ') -quasigeodesic in G .

Let $C_1 = \max(L', \frac{\epsilon'}{\lambda'})$. We claim that if all elements in H_1 which are shorter than C_1 belong to G_0 , then any path p , as above, is a (λ', ϵ') -quasigeodesic in G . Indeed, it is enough to show that p is a local $(1/6, (4\mu \cdot A + \delta + M), L')$ -quasigeodesic in G .

As $h_i \notin G_0$, it follows that $|p_i| > C_1$. As $L' < C_1$, any subpath t of p with $|t| < L'$ has a (unique) decomposition $t_1t_2t_3$, where t_1 and t_3 are subpaths of some p_i and p_{i+1} , and t_2 is a subpath of q_i (some of t_i might be empty). Let t_4 be a geodesic in G connecting the endpoints of t .

If $|t_2| > \frac{2|t|}{3}$, then $|t_1| + |t_3| \leq \frac{|t|}{3}$, so $|t_4| \geq |t_2| - (|t_1| + |t_3|) \geq \frac{2|t|}{3} - \frac{|t|}{3} = \frac{|t|}{3}$.

So assume that $|t_2| \leq \frac{2|t|}{3}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $|t_1| \geq |t_3|$, hence $|t_1| > \frac{|t|}{6}$. As $t_1t_2t_3t_4$ is a geodesic 4-gon in a δ -negatively curved group G , there exists a decomposition $t_1 = s_2s_3s_4$ such that s_2 belongs to the δ -neighborhood of t_2 , s_3 belongs to the 2δ -neighborhood of t_3 and s_4 belongs to the δ -neighborhood of t_4 . According to Lemma 6, $|s_3| < M$ and according to Lemma 5, $|s_2| \leq 4\mu \cdot A$. But then $|t_4| + \delta \geq |s_4| = |t_1| - |s_2| - |s_3| \geq |t_1| - 4\mu \cdot A - M \geq \frac{|t|}{6} - 4\mu \cdot A - M$.

Hence $|t_4| \geq \frac{|t|}{6} - (M + \delta + 4\mu \cdot A)$, so the path p is a local $(\frac{1}{6}, (M + \delta + 4\mu \cdot A), L')$ -quasigeodesic in G , hence it is a (λ', ϵ') -quasigeodesic in G . Then we conclude the argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The problem solved in this paper appeared in M. Bestvina's problem list in geometric group theory. The author would like to thank Professors W. Neumann and J. Stallings for helpful conversations.

REFERENCES

- [C-D-P] M. Coornaert, T. Delzant and A. Papadopoulos, *Géométrie and Théorie des Groupes, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1441*, Springer, 1990.
- [Gi] R. Gitik, *On Quasiconvex Subgroups of Negatively Groups*, J. of Pure and Applied Algebra (to appear).
- [G-R] R. Gitik and E. Rips, *On Separability Property of Groups*, Int. J. of Algebra and Computation **5** (1995), 703-717.

- [Gr] M. Gromov, *Hyperbolic Groups*, Essays in Group Theory, MSRI series vol.8 (S. M. Gersten, ed.), Springer-Verlag, 1987, pp. 75-263.
- [Ma] B. Maskit, *Kleinian Groups*, Springer, 1987.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALTECH, PASADENA, CA 91125

E-mail address: `ritagtk @ cco.caltech.edu`